

Tilburg University

On the relation between GARCH and stable processes

de Vries, C.G.

Publication date:
1991

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
de Vries, C. G. (1991). *On the relation between GARCH and stable processes*. (Reprint Series). CentER for Economic Research.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

CBM

CBM
R

8823
1991

52

Center
for
Economic Research

REPRINT



On the Relation between GARCH and Stable Processes

by
Casper G. de Vries

Reprinted from Journal of Econometrics,
Vol. 48, No. 3, 1991



Reprint Series
no. 52

CENTER FOR ECONOMIC RESEARCH

Research Staff

Helmut Bester
Eric van Damme
Frederick van der Floeg

Board

Helmut Bester
Eric van Damme, director
Arie Kapteyn
Frederick van der Floeg

Scientific Council

Eduard Bomhoff	Erasmus University Rotterdam
Willem Buiter	Yale University
Jacques Drèze	Université Catholique de Louvain
Theo van de Klundert	Tilburg University
Simon Kuipers	Groningen University
Jean-Jacques Laffont	Université des Sciences Sociales de Toulouse
Merton Miller	University of Chicago
Stephen Nickell	University of Oxford
Pieter Ruys	Tilburg University
Jacques Sijben	Tilburg University

Residential Fellows

Joseph Greenberg	McGill University
Jan Magnus	Tilburg University
Emmanuel Petrakis	University of California at Los Angeles
Larry Samuelson	University of Wisconsin
Jonathan Thomas	University of Warwick

Doctoral Students

Roel Beetsma
Hans Bloemen
Chuangyin Dang
Frank de Jong
Pieter Kop Jansen

Address: Hogeschoollaan 225, P.O. Box 90153, 5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands
Phone : +31 13 663050
Telex : 52426 kub nl
Telefax: +31 13 663066
E-mail : "center@htikub5.bitnet"

ISSN 0924-7874

1991

**On the Relation between GARCH
and Stable Processes**

by
Casper G. de Vries

Reprinted from *Journal of Econometrics*,
Vol. 48, No. 3, 1991

Reprint Series
no. 52

On the relation between GARCH and stable processes

Casper G. de Vries*

Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium

Received June 1988, final version received March 1990

Stable and GARCH processes have been advocated for modeling financial data. The aim of this note is to compare the two processes. It is shown that the unconditional distribution of variates from a GARCH-like process, which explicitly models the clustering of volatility and exhibits the fat-tail property as well, can be stable. Given suitable conditions the conditional distributions are stable as well. While it is generally realized that processes with variates that have unconditional nonnormal stable densities have a high frequency of 'outliers', it is less well known that they can exhibit the clustering phenomenon too. The clustering is obtained through stable subordination with conditional scaling.

1. Introduction

The literature on modeling returns on speculative assets consists of two main approaches. One approach only models the unconditional distribution of the returns, while the other approach also takes the conditional distributional aspects into account. The former approach at first hypothesized a Brownian motion, but this proved untenable due to the slowly declining probability mass in the tails of the empirical distribution function (d.f.) of the innovations. In order to account for this phenomenon, Mandelbrot (1963) in a seminal paper proposed to use the other members of the stable class rather than the normal d.f. While there are other fat-tailed d.f.'s, the stable d.f.'s are the only d.f.'s which are type-invariant under addition (i.e., only the

* I am grateful to Ronny Claeys, Feike Drost, Geert Gielen, Laurens de Haan, Raouf Jaïbi, Teun Kloek, Paul Kofman, Luc Lauwers, Theo Nijman, Peter Schotman, Guoqiang Tian, and the comments of four anonymous referees. This work was supported by the Texas A&M University, the Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam, and the Center for Economic Research. I benefited from presentations at the E.S.E.M. 1989 in Munich and Hermann Garber's graduate seminar at the University of Zürich.

location and scale may change, while the characteristic exponent is constant), which is a desirable property given that returns are time-additive.¹ The stable model has become a popular model in several areas of economics [see, e.g., Westerfield (1977) and Akgiray and Booth (1988)]. Nevertheless, other fat-tailed d.f.'s, like the Student-*t* [see, e.g., Blattberg and Gonedes (1974)], have been studied because a finite variance is sometimes found to be a characteristic of the data as well [i.e., due to the applicability of the central limit law; see Diebold (1988)]. More recently, discrete mixtures of the normal d.f. and mixed diffusion jump processes are becoming popular [see, e.g., Kon (1984) and Tucker and Pond (1988)], as these processes exhibit the also observed higher-than-normal kurtosis. But note that these models do not have the fat-tail property due to the exponentially declining tails of their density functions.

The other and more recent strand of literature not only considers the unconditional d.f., but also focuses on the conditional distributional aspects. Mandelbrot (1963) already discussed the fact that there are clusters of high and low volatility in the return data. Typically, dependence in the second moment of the returns' d.f. is much stronger than dependence in the first moment. But not until the ARCH model [introduced by Engle (1982)] and the GARCH extension [see Bollerslev and Engle (1986)] have economists come to grips with this stylized fact. In addition to exhibiting the clustering phenomenon, the unconditional d.f.'s of the variates from an ARCH process have fat tails [see, e.g., De Haan et al. (1989)], though the variance is still finite. Understandably the ARCH-type processes have gained wide popularity [cf. Diebold (1988)]. For example, Diebold (1987, p. 3; 1988, ch. 4) and Bollerslev (1987, p. 542) argue in favor of the GARCH process vis-à-vis a process with variates that are unconditionally stable distributed, because the latter process ostensibly lacks the clustering phenomenon.

This begs the question whether it is not possible for a stable process to exhibit the clustering phenomenon. In the existing literature we could not locate a reference dealing with this issue.² The aim of this note is to partly fill this gap by comparing the two processes. In particular, we intend to show that there exists a class of GARCH-like processes of which the realizations are unconditionally stable distributed. We also provide an example of a stable process which exhibits clusters of volatility; i.e., all conditional distributions of this process follow a stable law as well. Some hints towards empirical implementation are provided. It follows that, under certain conditions on the parameters of a GARCH-like process, the stable and GARCH processes are

¹ Furthermore, due to triangular arbitrage between foreign exchange rates, foreign exchange rate returns are additive as well across different rates.

² The only somewhat related paper is by McCulloch (1985), who introduces a process with stable innovations but conditional scaling. The resulting unconditional distributions, however, are not stable. Wolff (1988) compares the ARCH model with the random coefficient model.

observationally equivalent from the viewpoint of the unconditional distribution, and in some cases in all respects. In summary, the various strands of the literature have tried to cope with following stylized facts of returns on financial assets: returns (i) have d.f.'s with fat tails and a higher-than-normal kurtosis, (ii) exhibit clusters of high and low volatility, (iii) are additive such that their distributions are type-invariant, and (iv) normed sums tend to follow a limit law. The unconditional stable literature captures facts (i), (iii), and (iv). The ARCH literature deals with facts (i), (ii), and (iv). This note shows that there are processes which exhibit all four stylized facts, or different subsets of these facts.

2. The volatility function

Consider the following slightly modified GARCH (1, 1) process:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y(t) &= X(t)H(t), \\
 X \text{ is i.i.d., } E[X] &= 0, \quad E[X^2] = 1, \\
 H(t)^2 &= \lambda S(t-1)^2 + \tau H(t-1)^2, \\
 S \text{ is i.i.d., } E[S] &= 0, \quad E[S^2] = 1, \quad \lambda, \tau \geq 0, \quad \tau < 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where i.i.d. stands for independent and identically distributed.

This model will be very close in spirit to Bollerslev's (1986) GARCH model if we set $S(t) = X(t)$. In this case, the difference between the GARCH processes is with respect to volatility function, where we use the past squared innovation $X(t-1)^2$ rather than the past squared realization $Y(t-1)^2$. This practice is also followed by, e.g., Nelson (1989) and Hsieh (1989). Note that for covariance stationarity $\tau < 1$ is sufficient for the process in (1), whereas $\lambda + \tau < 1$ is required in case of GARCH (1, 1).

The process defined in (1) exhibits the same properties as GARCH. More specifically, the process exhibits conditional heteroskedasticity, as past innovations and variances contribute to the current variance. This produces the clustering phenomenon (ii) as may be seen from

$$H(t)^2 - \sigma^2 = \tau [H(t-1)^2 - \sigma^2] + \lambda [S(t-1)^2 - 1], \tag{2}$$

where $\sigma^2 = \lambda/(1 - \tau)$ is the unconditional variance of both $Y(t)$ and $H(t)$. The current variance overshoots the unconditional variance as a weighted average of past excesses in the innovation variances. For GARCH (1, 1), we

have³

$$H(t)^2 - \sigma^2 = \tau [H(t-1)^2 - \sigma^2] + \lambda [Y(t-1)^2 - \sigma^2], \quad (3)$$

where $\sigma^2 = \omega / (1 - \lambda - \tau)$. The only difference between (2) and (3) is the second term, which stems from using the innovations $S(t-1)$ or $X(t-1)$ rather than the realizations $Y(t-1)$ in the volatility function. The property (i) can be easily satisfied as well, by choosing X to follow a fat-tailed distribution, like the Student- t or stable distribution. In the latter case eqs. (2) and (3) make no sense, but evidently the clustering phenomenon is still present. With a finite variance σ^2 , the unconditional distribution of $Y(t)$ cannot be stable and fat-tailed. Is it possible, though, that the above scheme (1) generates fat-tailed stable variates under slightly different conditions?

3. Stable subordination with conditional scaling

In order to answer the question at the end of the previous section, consider the following stochastic process called SSCS for ease of reference.⁴

Definition 1. The SSCS process is defined as the stationary solution of

$$Y(t) = X(t)H(t)^{1/\alpha}, \quad (4)$$

$$H(t) = \lambda G(t-1) + \tau H(t-1), \quad \lambda > 0, \quad 0 \leq \tau < 1, \quad (5)$$

where the $X(t)$ and $G(t-1)$ are each strictly stable i.i.d. random variables (r.v.) with characteristic exponents α , $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, and β , $0 < \beta < 1$, respectively, the $G(t)$ are nonnegative, and $X(t)$ and $G(t-j)$ are independent for all $j \geq 1$.

In comparison with eq. (1), the volatility function (5) – or scaling function in the context of stable d.f.'s – of the SSCS process still exhibits the clustering phenomenon. While past innovations do contribute to H , the relationship is slightly more complicated. It allows for more general patterns of clustering (see also footnote 6 below). Note that, while $X(t)$ and $G(t-j)$ are independent, Definition 1 does not rule out temporal dependency between $X(t)$ and $G(t)$. The following theorem ensures that the marginal distribution of $Y(t)$ is stable.

³The GARCH (1,1) process is defined by $Y(t) = X(t)H(t)$, $H(t)^2 = \omega + \lambda Y(t-1)^2 + \tau H(t-1)^2$, $\omega > 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, $\lambda + \tau < 1$, and $X(t) \sim N(0, 1)$.

⁴SSCS is the acronym for stable subordination with conditional scaling.

Theorem 1. *The unconditional distributions of the $Y(t)$ in eq. (4) are strictly stable with characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta$.*

Proof. The proof proceeds in two steps. We first obtain the distribution of H by convergence of an infinite convolution. In step 2 the unconditional distribution of Y is derived as the product of two strictly stable variates.

Step 1. By repeated substitution the scaling function in eq. (5) can be rewritten as

$$H(t) = \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau^k G(t-k-1) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n H(t-n-1).$$

By Theorem 3 in Feller (1971, ch. VI.1) and recalling $0 < \tau < 1$, this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &\stackrel{d}{=} \lambda \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau^{\beta k} \right]^{1/\beta} G + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n H(t-n-1) \\ &= \lambda \left[\frac{1}{1-\tau^\beta} \right]^{1/\beta} G + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n H(t-n-1), \end{aligned}$$

where G is equal in distribution to $G(t)$.

The last term is zero in probability, and hence

$$H(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \lambda \left[\frac{1}{1-\tau^\beta} \right]^{1/\beta} G.$$

By Theorem 2 in Feller (1971, ch. VI.1), $H(t)$ is positive, has a strictly stable distribution function with scale $\lambda[1/(1-\tau^\beta)]^{1/\beta}$ and characteristic exponent β .

Step 2. Given that $X(t)$ and $H(t)$ are strictly stable with characteristic exponents α and β , it follows from the product rule for stable variates [see, e.g., Feller (1971, ch. VI.2)] that

$$Y(t) = X(t)H(t)^{1/\alpha}$$

is strictly stable with characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta$. ■

Note that the theorem holds for any unconditionally stable distributed Y , except the normal, by choosing α and β appropriately. Therefore variates

which are unconditionally leptokurtic stable may possess the clustering phenomenon (ii). The clustering derives from the volatility function that implies the conditional scale $H(t)^{1/\alpha}$ which, when multiplied by the innovation $X(t)$, produces the subordinated process $Y(t)$ with stable marginals. Clark (1973) discusses stable subordinated stochastic processes in economics, but does not consider the possibility of conditional scaling.

Corollary 1. If the processes $\{G(t)\}$ and $\{X(t)\}$ are independent and $Y(t)$ follows the SSCS process of Definition 1, then $Y(t)$ satisfies the properties (i)–(iv).

Proof. Evidently, the fat-tail (i) and the clustering (ii) properties are satisfied. To obtain the additivity property (iii), note that conditional on the $H(t)$'s any sum $\sum_{j=0}^n Y(t-j)$ is strictly stable with scale

$$\lambda^{1/\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^j \tau^i \right) G(t-j-1) + \lambda^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \tau^i \right) H(t-n) \right\}^{1/\alpha} \quad (6)$$

and characteristic exponent α . By the additivity property of stable variates this scale is itself strictly stable distributed as well, with exponent β . Apply step 2 of the previous proof to conclude that $\sum_{j=0}^n Y(t-j)$ is strictly stable with characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta$. Therefore, $Y(t)$ and any finite sum of $Y(t)$'s are of the same type. A similar argument shows this holds for any linear combination of $Y(t)$'s. Property (iv) follows trivially as the stable distributions are in their own domain of attraction. ■

Remark 1. An easy proof of the third property in case the $X(t)$'s are standard normal is given in Feller (1971, p. 176, fn. 7).

Remark 2. Because property (iii) holds, it also follows that all finite linear combinations of $Y(t)$'s are strictly stable with the same characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta$. Theorem 2 of Dudley and Kanter (1974) then implies that $\{Y(t)\}$ is a stable stochastic process, and any subsequence of $Y(t)$'s is multivariate stable.⁵

Remark 3. Serial dependence in the mean can be introduced by adding a factor $\phi Y(t-1)$, $\phi^2 < 1$, to the right-hand side of eq. (4).

In view of the extant literature it is of interest to discuss the empirical implementation of the SSCS model. Consider the SSCS process of Definition

⁵Another implication of this is that the discrete-time SSCS stochastic process can be embedded in a continuous-time stochastic process [see Wolfe (1982)]. This is not possible for, e.g., the ARCH process.

Table 1
Parameter estimates for the unconditional stable d.f.^a

Currencies	Frequency of data	Scale \hat{s}	Characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta$
Canadian/U.S. dollar	Day	0.00127	1.747
	Month	0.00681	1.560
German mark/U.S. dollar	Day	0.00339	1.624
	Month	0.02122	1.686
Japanese yen/U.S. dollar	Day	0.00234	1.266
	Month	0.01626	1.366

^aEstimates as reported in Boothe and Glassman (1987, p. 309).

1, suppose that $G(t)$ and $X(t)$ are independent, and let $X(t)$ have a standard normal d.f. such that $\alpha = 2$ (in the spirit of Engle's original ARCH process). How can the parameters β , λ , and τ be estimated? The literature on speculative prices abounds with estimates of the unconditional d.f. of the returns. Table 1 contains some typical example estimates for the spot foreign exchange rate returns as reported in a recent survey by Boothe and Glassman (1987). From the last column of this table, an estimate for β is easily calculated by division of $\hat{\alpha\beta}$ with the maintained hypothesis $\alpha = 2$. From eqs. (4) and (6) the unconditional scale is found as

$$s = \lambda^{1/\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^j \tau^i \right)^\beta + \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \tau^i \right)^\beta / (1 - \tau^\beta) \right\}^{1/\alpha\beta}. \quad (7)$$

Hence, the scale estimates \hat{s} reported in table 1 are a nonlinear combination of λ , τ , α , and β . On the basis of this information it is not possible to identify λ and τ separately. Note, however, that the λ can be divided out if one takes the ratio of two scale estimates based on two different frequencies. For months with $n + 1$ days, from the following statistic R_n ,

$$R_n = \left[\frac{s(\text{month})}{s(\text{day})} \right]^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^j \tau^i \right)^\beta (1 - \tau^\beta) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \tau^i \right)^\beta, \quad (8)$$

τ can be identified given an $\hat{\beta}$. Note that by induction on n , for all n , $dR_n/d\tau < 0$ for $0 < \tau < 1$, and hence a simple grid-search procedure may be used to find $\hat{\tau}$. Once the conditional scaling coefficient $\hat{\tau}$ is known, the scale of the innovations $\hat{\lambda}$ is easily calculated from, say, the daily \hat{s} . Table 2 provides parameter estimates for the SSCS process on the basis of the

Table 2
Parameter estimates for the SSCS process.^a

Currencies	Imputed				
	$\widehat{\alpha\beta}$	$\hat{\beta}$	\hat{R}_{21}	$\hat{\tau}$	$\hat{\lambda}$
Canadian/U.S. dollar	1.7	0.85	17.37	0.46	0.0008
German mark/U.S. dollar	1.6	0.80	18.81	0.15	0.0029
Japanese yen/U.S. dollar	1.4	0.70	15.09	0.36	0.0015

^aDue to different $\alpha\beta$ estimates for different frequencies, some overall characteristic exponent has to be used in the calculations of τ and λ ; this information is recorded in the first column. Grid search was used to calculate τ from the nonlinear eq. (8). Calculations are based on the presumption that a month contains $n + 1 = 22$ trading days.

information contained in table 1. The $\hat{\tau}$ values indicate the contribution of past scales to the current scaling coefficient. An indication for the persistence in the scaling and thus the importance of volatility clusters are the mean lag $\tau/(1 - \tau)$, with values of 0.85, 0.17, and 0.56, respectively, and the median lag which is zero in all cases [see Bollerslev (1986, pp. 311–312)]. This suggests that while past volatility does contribute to the current volatility, the effect evaporates fairly rapidly.

How do these estimates, the τ values in particular, compare to the existing evidence? We address two issues, the size of τ and the effects of temporal aggregation. To start with the latter issue, as Diebold (1988) shows on the basis of a central limit theorem argument, temporal aggregates of ARCH processes tend to normality. Empirically, Baillie and Bollerslev (1989) find that while GARCH effects are present in daily and weekly foreign exchange return data, these effects disappear in biweekly and monthly returns. A nice property of the SSCS process is that the effects of time aggregation can be explicitly calculated; i.e., no limit arguments are needed even though they do apply as stable distributions are in their own domain of attraction. Define $Z(-m) = Y(t - (m - 1)k) + \dots + Y(t - mk + 1)$ for some periodicity $k \geq 2$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots$, and study the behavior of the time-aggregated series $Z(-m)$. Note that $H(t) + \dots + H(t - (k - 1))$ is equal in distribution to $R_{k-1}^{1/\beta} H(t)$, where R_k was defined in (8). The time-aggregated SSCS process can then be written as

$$Z(-m) \stackrel{d}{=} X(-m) R_{k-1}^{1/\alpha\beta} H(-m)^{1/\alpha}, \quad (4')$$

$$H(-m) \stackrel{d}{=} \lambda c G(-m - 1) + \tau^k H(-m - 1), \quad (5')$$

where X , G , and H are distributed as before and c is a positive nonlinear function of τ . The important thing to note is the factor τ^k in the scaling

function. It is not hard to show that $\lim \tau^k R_{k-1}^{1/\beta} = 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the clustering effect is reduced due to temporal aggregation, as in the case of GARCH. Empirically, for the estimates in table 2, the clustering effect is virtually zero on a fortnightly scale.

In comparison with, e.g., the GARCH estimates reported in Bollerslev (1987), Baillie and Bollerslev (1989), and Hsieh (1989), our $\hat{\tau}$ values point to a lower persistence [typically $\lambda + \tau$ as in eq. (3) are close to one in these references]. Interestingly Hsieh also estimates the exponential GARCH model and finds that the autoregressive parameter in the volatility function is significantly below one. This may be due to the logarithmic specification which reduces the effect of outliers in the volatility function. Thus, an explanation for the relatively low τ values we find may be that the stable model is 'robust' against outliers. This point may be of interest for future research, but is outside the scope of the present paper.

Up to this point the innovations G in the volatility function (5) have been considered to be independent from the past innovations X or realizations Y . It is of interest to relax this assumption. First consider dependence of $G(t)$ on $X(t)$. Specifically, suppose that the dependence takes the following form:

$$G(t) = F_2^{-1}(F_1(X(t))), \quad (9)$$

where F_1 is the d.f. of X , F_2 is the d.f. of G , and X and G are both strictly stable r.v.'s as stated in Definition 1. Therefore, F_1 and F_2 are continuous [see, e.g., Feller (1971, ch. VI.13)], have the same range, and are monotone. It follows that the composite function in eq. (9) defines a strictly stable r.v. with characteristic exponent β . It is easy to see that, as $X(t)$ and $G(t-j)$ are independent r.v.'s, Theorem 1 is applicable and hence the unconditional distribution of $Y(t)$ is strictly stable with characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta$. As $\alpha\beta < 2$, the fat-tail property (i) holds again. From the discussion in the previous section and the Definition 1 the stochastic process also exhibits the cluster property (ii).

Corollary 2. The SSCS process of Definition 1, amended with the dependency structure as in eq. (9), exhibits properties (i) and (ii).

To foster the reader's intuition, the following process provides a tractable example:

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= X(t)H(t)^{1/2}, & X(t) \text{ is i.i.d. standard normal,} \\ H(t) &= \frac{1}{X(t-1)^2} + \tau^2 H(t-1), & 0 \leq \tau < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

As X follows the standard normal distribution with density $f_\alpha(x)$, i.e., it is a strictly stable variate with characteristic exponent $\alpha = 2$, the composite function $1/X^2$ is a strictly stable variate with characteristic exponent $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ and has density

$$f_\beta(g) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} g^{-3/2} e^{-1/2g},$$

see Feller (1971, ch. II.4). From step 1 in the proof of Theorem 1, H has density [note the τ^2 in (10)]

$$f_\beta(h) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} (1-\tau)^{-1} h^{-3/2} \exp[2(1-\tau)^2 h]^{-1}. \quad (11)$$

The unconditional density of Y can now be found as a mixture of the normal

$$f_{\alpha\beta}(y) = \int f_\alpha(y|h) f_\beta(h) dh = 1/\pi [(1-\tau)^{-1} + (1-\tau)y^2]. \quad (12)$$

The unconditional distribution of Y is Cauchy, i.e., is stable with characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta = 1$.⁶

This example is also instructive in showing that this variant of the SSCS process does not exhibit the additivity property (iii), cf. Corollary 1 and 2. Suppose that $\tau = 0$ in (10) and hence the process can simply be written as $Y(t) = X(t)/X(t-1)$. It is immediate that the unconditional distribution of $Y(t)$ is Cauchy, given that the $X(t)$'s are i.i.d. standard normal. Straightforward calculations show that the joint density of two adjacent Y 's, say $A = Y(t)$ and $B = Y(t-1)$, reads

$$f(a, b) = (2\pi)^{-1} a^{-2} (1 + b^2 + a^{-2})^{-3/2}.$$

For $\{Y(t)\}$ to be a stable process, a necessary condition is that each univariate marginal – i.e., including all linear combinations – is stable with the same index; see, e.g., Dudley and Kanter (1974). It is relatively straightforward to show that the marginals $f(a) = \int f(a, b) db$ and $f(b) = \int f(a, b) da$ are Cauchy, i.e., have index one. How about the sum? Let $Q = A + B$, and evaluate the density of the sum $f(q) = \int f(q - b, b) db$. This integral is hard to integrate due to the fractional power of the denominator. However, it is easily shown that $f(q)$ is symmetric around $q = 0$. Moreover, some tedious

⁶ Interestingly, as was pointed out by a referee, the process (10) constitutes an example of 'negative clustering' in analogy with the effect of negative serial correlation. If the previous innovation was high in absolute terms, it lowers the current conditional variance and vice versa. Hence, the volatility tends to alternate.

calculus shows

$$f''(0) = -\frac{3}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{a^3}{[1+a^2+a^4]^{5/2}} - 5 \frac{a^7}{[1+a^2+a^4]^{7/2}} \right\} da$$

$$= 2/27\pi > 0.$$

But the Cauchy distribution that is symmetric around zero has $f''(0) < 0$. Hence, $f(q)$ is not Cauchy. In fact, by numerical integration $f(q)$ was found to be bimodal. It follows that property (iii) cannot be satisfied.

As a last example of processes with unconditional stable variates which exhibit volatility clustering, consider the following bilinear model:⁷

$$Y(t) = X(t)H(t)^{1/2},$$

$$H(t)^2 = \lambda Y(t-1)^2 Q(t)^{2/\beta}, \quad (13)$$

where the $X(t)$ are i.i.d. standard normal distributed and the $Q(t)$ are i.i.d. strictly stable distributed with characteristic exponent $\frac{1}{2}$.

Note that the volatility function now directly depends on the past realization like in Engle's (1982) original ARCH process. The process also has the format of a random coefficient model [cf. Wolff (1988)]. It can be checked by using the product rule for stable r.v.'s that the unconditional distribution of $Y(t)$ is stable with characteristic exponent β .

4. Summary

The paper shows that studies which concentrated on the unconditional distribution of asset returns and hypothesized a stable d.f., are not necessarily inconsistent with ARCH-type processes that exhibit clusters of volatility. This has some importance for modeling the distribution of returns on financial assets. Typically the returns are leptokurtic and exhibit clusters of volatility. The SSCS model offers a means to nest the finite-variance and infinite-variance stable alternative within an ARCH-type scheme. It was noted that while the unconditional distribution of the SSCS variates can be stable, sums of these variates may or may not be identical in distribution to the summands. Thus the SSCS process may fail the additivity property. In the latter case, dependence of the volatility function on previous innovations and realizations was considered. Example processes of both cases were given, as well as some hints towards empirical implementation.

⁷On bilinear models, see Granger and Andersen (1978).

References

- Akgiray, V. and G.G. Booth, 1988, The stable-law model of stock returns, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 51–57.
- Baillie, R.T. and T. Bollerslev, 1989, The message in daily exchange rates: A conditional variance tale, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 297–305.
- Blattberg, R.C. and N.J. Gonedes, 1974, A comparison of the stable and student distributions as statistical models for stock prices, *Journal of Business*, 244–280.
- Bollerslev, T., 1986, Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity, *Journal of Econometrics*, 307–327.
- Bollerslev, T., 1987, A conditionally heteroskedastic time series model for speculative prices and rates of return, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 542–547.
- Bollerslev, T. and R.F. Engle, 1986, Modelling the persistence of conditional variances, *Econometric Reviews*, 1–50.
- Boothe, P. and D. Glassman, 1987, The statistical distribution of exchange rates, *Journal of International Economics*, 297–319.
- Clark, P.K., 1973, A subordinated process model with finite variance for speculative prices, *Econometrica*, 135–155.
- Diebold, F.X., 1987, Temporal aggregation of ARCH processes and the distribution of asset returns, Special studies paper 200 (Federal Reserve Board, Washington, DC).
- Diebold, F.X., 1988, Empirical modeling of exchange rate dynamics (Springer Verlag, Berlin).
- Dudley, R.M. and M. Kanter, 1974, Zero-one laws for stable measures, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 245–252.
- Engle, R.F., 1982, Autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity with estimates of the variance of the U.K. inflation, *Econometrica*, 987–1008.
- Feller, W., 1971, An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Vol. II (J. Wiley, New York, NY).
- Granger, C.W.J. and A.P. Andersen, 1978, An introduction to bilinear time series (Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen).
- Haan, L. de, S.I. Resnick, H. Rootzen, and C.G. de Vries, 1989, Extremal behaviour of solutions to a stochastic difference equation with applications to ARCH processes, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 213–224.
- Hsieh, D.A., 1989, Modeling heteroscedasticity in daily foreign exchange rates, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 307–317.
- Kon, S., 1984, Models of stock returns: A comparison, *Journal of Finance*, 147–165.
- Mandelbrot, B., 1963, The variation of certain speculative prices, *Journal of Business*, 394–419.
- McCulloch, J.H., 1985, Interest-risk sensitive deposit insurance premia, stable ACH estimates, *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 137–156.
- Nelson, D.B., 1989, Conditional heteroskedasticity in asset returns: A new approach, Mimeo. (University of Chicago, Chicago, IL).
- Tucker, A.L. and L. Pond, 1988, The probability distribution of foreign exchange price changes: Tests of candidate processes, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 638–647.
- Westerfield, J.M., 1977, An examination of foreign exchange risk under fixed and floating rate regimes, *Journal of International Economics*, 181–200.
- Wolfe, S.J., 1982, On a continuous analogue of the stochastic difference equation $X_n = \rho X_{n-1} + B_n$, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 301–312.
- Wolff, C.C.P., 1988, Autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity: A comparison of ARCH and random coefficient models, *Economics Letters*, 141–143.

- No. 1 G. Marini and F. van der Ploeg, Monetary and fiscal policy in an optimising model with capital accumulation and finite lives, The Economic Journal, Vol. 98, No. 392, 1988, pp. 772 - 786.
- No. 2 F. van der Ploeg, International policy coordination in interdependent monetary economies, Journal of International Economics, Vol 25, 1988, pp. 1 - 23.
- No. 3 A.P. Barten, The history of Dutch macroeconomic modelling (1936-1986), in W. Driehuis, M.M.G. Fase and H. den Hartog (eds.), Challenges for Macroeconomic Modelling, Contributions to Economic Analysis 178, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1988, pp. 39 - 88.
- No. 4 F. van der Ploeg, Disposable income, unemployment, inflation and state spending in a dynamic political-economic model, Public Choice, Vol. 60, 1989, pp. 211 - 239.
- No. 5 Th. ten Raa and F. van der Ploeg, A statistical approach to the problem of negatives in input-output analysis, Economic Modelling, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1989, pp. 2 - 19.
- No. 6 E. van Damme, Renegotiation-proof equilibria in repeated prisoners' dilemma, Journal of Economic Theory, Vol. 47, No. 1, 1989, pp. 206 - 217.
- No. 7 C. Mulder and F. van der Ploeg, Trade unions, investment and employment in a small open economy: a Dutch perspective, in J. Muysken and C. de Neubourg (eds.), Unemployment in Europe, London: The MacMillan Press Ltd, 1989, pp. 200 - 229.
- No. 8 Th. van de Klundert and F. van der Ploeg, Wage rigidity and capital mobility in an optimizing model of a small open economy, De Economist 137, nr. 1, 1989, pp. 47 - 75.
- No. 9 G. Dhaene and A.P. Barten, When it all began: the 1936 Tinbergen model revisited, Economic Modelling, Vol. 6, No. 2, 1989, pp. 203 - 219.
- No. 10 F. van der Ploeg and A.J. de Zeeuw, Conflict over arms accumulation in market and command economies, in F. van der Ploeg and A.J. de Zeeuw (eds.), Dynamic Policy Games in Economics, Contributions to Economic Analysis 181, Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland), 1989, pp. 91 - 119.
- No. 11 J. Driffill, Macroeconomic policy games with incomplete information: some extensions, in F. van der Ploeg and A.J. de Zeeuw (eds.), Dynamic Policy Games in Economics, Contributions to Economic Analysis 181, Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland), 1989, pp. 289 - 322.
- No. 12 F. van der Ploeg, Towards monetary integration in Europe, in P. De Grauwe e.a., De Europese Monetaire Integratie: vier visies, Wetenschappelijke Raad voor het Regeringsbeleid V 66, 's-Gravenhage: SDU uitgeverij, 1989, pp. 81 - 106.

- No. 13 R.J.M. Alessie and A. Kapteyn, Consumption, savings and demography, in A. Wenig, K.F. Zimmermann (eds.), Demographic Change and Economic Development, Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1989, pp. 272 - 305.
- No. 14 A. Hoque, J.R. Magnus and B. Pesaran, The exact multi-period mean-square forecast error for the first-order autoregressive model, Journal of Econometrics, Vol. 39, No. 3, 1988, pp. 327 - 346.
- No. 15 R. Alessie, A. Kapteyn and B. Melenberg, The effects of liquidity constraints on consumption: estimation from household panel data, European Economic Review 33, No. 2/3, 1989, pp. 547 - 555.
- No. 16 A. Holly and J.R. Magnus, A note on instrumental variables and maximum likelihood estimation procedures, Annales d'Économie et de Statistique, No. 10, April-June, 1988, pp. 121 - 138.
- No. 17 P. ten Hacken, A. Kapteyn and I. Woittiez, Unemployment benefits and the labor market, a micro/macro approach, in B.A. Gustafsson and N. Anders Klevmarken (eds.), The Political Economy of Social Security, Contributions to Economic Analysis 179, Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland), 1989, pp. 143 - 164.
- No. 18 T. Wansbeek and A. Kapteyn, Estimation of the error-components model with incomplete panels, Journal of Econometrics, Vol. 41, No. 3, 1989, pp. 341 - 361.
- No. 19 A. Kapteyn, P. Kooreman and R. Willemse, Some methodological issues in the implementation of subjective poverty definitions, The Journal of Human Resources, Vol. 23, No. 2, 1988, pp. 222 - 242.
- No. 20 Th. van de Klundert and F. van der Ploeg, Fiscal policy and finite lives in interdependent economies with real and nominal wage rigidity, Oxford Economic Papers, Vol. 41, No. 3, 1989, pp. 459 - 489.
- No. 21 J.R. Magnus and B. Pesaran, The exact multi-period mean-square forecast error for the first-order autoregressive model with an intercept, Journal of Econometrics, Vol. 42, No. 2, 1989, pp. 157 - 179.
- No. 22 F. van der Ploeg, Two essays on political economy: (i) The political economy of overvaluation, The Economic Journal, Vol. 99, No. 397, 1989, pp. 850 - 855; (ii) Election outcomes and the stockmarket, European Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1989, pp. 21 - 30.
- No. 23 J.R. Magnus and A.D. Woodland, On the maximum likelihood estimation of multivariate regression models containing serially correlated error components, International Economic Review, Vol. 29, No. 4, 1988, pp. 707 - 725.
- No. 24 A.J.J. Talman and Y. Yamamoto, A simplicial algorithm for stationary point problems on polytopes, Mathematics of Operations Research, Vol. 14, No. 3, 1989, pp. 383 - 399.

- No. 25 E. van Damme, Stable equilibria and forward induction, Journal of Economic Theory, Vol. 48, No. 2, 1989, pp. 476 - 496.
- No. 26 A.P. Barten and L.J. Bettendorf, Price formation of fish: An application of an inverse demand system, European Economic Review, Vol. 33, No. 8, 1989, pp. 1509 - 1525.
- No. 27 G. Noldeke and E. van Damme, Signalling in a dynamic labour market, Review of Economic Studies, Vol. 57 (1), no. 189, 1990, pp. 1 - 23
- No. 28 P. Kop Jansen and Th. ten Raa, The choice of model in the construction of input-output coefficients matrices, International Economic Review, vol. 31, no. 1, 1990, pp. 213 - 227.
- No. 29 F. van der Ploeg and A.J. de Zeeuw, Perfect equilibrium in a model of competitive arms accumulation, International Economic Review, vol. 31, no. 1, 1990, pp. 131 - 146.
- No. 30 J.R. Magnus and A.D. Woodland, Separability and Aggregation, Economica, vol. 57, no. 226, 1990, pp. 239 - 247.
- No. 31 F. van der Ploeg, International interdependence and policy coordination in economies with real and nominal wage rigidity, Greek Economic Review, vol. 10, no. 1, June 1988, pp. 1 - 48.
- No. 32 E. van Damme, Signaling and forward induction in a market entry context, Operations Research Proceedings 1989, Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1990, pp. 45 - 59.
- No. 33 A.P. Barten, Toward a levels version of the Rotterdam and related demand systems, Contributions to Operations Research and Economics, Cambridge: MIT Press, 1989, pp. 441 - 465.
- No. 34 F. van der Ploeg, International coordination of monetary policies under alternative exchange-rate regimes, Advanced Lectures in Quantitative Economics, London-Orlando: Academic Press Ltd., 1990, pp. 91 - 121.
- No. 35 Th. van de Klundert, On socioeconomic causes of 'wait unemployment', European Economic Review, vol. 34, no. 5, 1990, pp. 1011 - 1022.
- No. 36 R.J.M. Alessie, A. Kapteyn, J.B. van Lochem and T.J. Wansbeek, Individual effects in utility consistent models of demand, in J. Hartog, G. Ridder and J. Theeuwes (eds.), Panel Data and Labor Market Studies, Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland), 1990, pp. 253 - 278.
- No. 37 F. van der Ploeg, Capital accumulation, inflation and long-run conflict in international objectives, Oxford Economic Papers, vol. 42, no. 3, 1990, pp. 501 - 525.
- No. 38 Th. Nijman and F. Palm, Parameter identification in ARMA Processes in the presence of regular but incomplete sampling, Journal of Time Series Analysis, vol. 11, no. 3, 1990, pp. 239 - 248.

- No. 39 Th. van de Klundert, Wage differentials and employment in a two-sector model with a dual labour market, Metroeconomica, vol. 40, no. 3, 1989, pp. 235 - 256.
- No. 40 Th. Nijman and M.F.J. Steel, Exclusion restrictions in instrumental variables equations, Econometric Reviews, vol. 9, no. 1, 1990, pp. 37 - 55.
- No. 41 A. van Soest, I. Woittiez and A. Kapteyn, Labor supply, income taxes, and hours restrictions in the Netherlands, Journal of Human Resources, vol. 25, no. 3, 1990, pp. 517 - 558.
- No. 42 Th.C.M.J. van de Klundert and A.B.T.M. van Schaik, Unemployment persistence and loss of productive capacity: a Keynesian approach, Journal of Macroeconomics, vol. 12, no. 3, 1990, pp. 363 - 380.
- No. 43 Th. Nijman and M. Verbeek, Estimation of time-dependent parameters in linear models using cross-sections, panels, or both, Journal of Econometrics, vol. 46, no. 3, 1990, pp. 333 - 346.
- No. 44 E. van Damme, R. Selten and E. Winter, Alternating bid bargaining with a smallest money unit, Games and Economic Behavior, vol. 2, no. 2, 1990, pp. 188 - 201.
- No. 45 C. Dang, The D_1 -triangulation of R^n for simplicial algorithms for computing solutions of nonlinear equations, Mathematics of Operations Research, vol. 16, no. 1, 1991, pp. 148 - 161.
- No. 46 Th. Nijman and F. Palm, Predictive accuracy gain from disaggregate sampling in ARIMA models, Journal of Business & Economic Statistics, vol. 8, no. 4, 1990, pp. 405 - 415.
- No. 47 J.R. Magnus, On certain moments relating to ratios of quadratic forms in normal variables: further results, Sankhya: The Indian Journal of Statistics, vol. 52, series B, part. 1, 1990, pp. 1 - 13.
- No. 48 M.F.J. Steel, A Bayesian analysis of simultaneous equation models by combining recursive analytical and numerical approaches, Journal of Econometrics, vol. 48, no. 1/2, 1991, pp. 83 - 117.
- No. 49 F. van der Ploeg and C. Withagen, Pollution control and the ramsey problem, Environmental and Resource Economics, vol. 1, no. 2, 1991, pp. 215 - 236.
- No. 50 F. van der Ploeg, Money and capital in interdependent economies with overlapping generations, Economica, vol. 58, no. 230, 1991, pp. 233 - 256.
- No. 51 A. Kapteyn and A. de Zeeuw, Changing incentives for economic research in the Netherlands, European Economic Review, vol. 35, no. 2/3, 1991, pp. 603 - 611.
- No. 52 C.G. de Vries, On the relation between GARCH and stable processes, Journal of Econometrics, vol. 48, no. 3, 1991, pp. 313 - 324.

P.O. BOX 00450 5000 LE TILBURG THE NETHERLANDS

Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant



17 000 01169715 9